## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNI	Α

STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN DOE SUBSCRIBER ASSIGNED IP ADDRESS 99.162.144.107,

Defendant.

Case No. 21-cv-00304-JCS

## ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE APPLICATION TO SERVE **SUBPOENA**

Re: Dkt. No. 8

Plaintiff Strike 3 Holdings, LLC moves ex parte for leave to serve a third party subpoena prior to a Rule 26(f) conference. The Court being duly advised does hereby FIND, ORDER AND ADJUDGE:

- 1. Plaintiff has established that "good cause" exists for it to serve a third party subpoena on AT&T U-verse ("AT&T"). See UMG Recording, Inc. v. Doe, No. C 08-1193 SBA, 2008 WL 4104214, \*4 (N.D. Cal. 2008); Arista Records LLC v. Does 1-19, 551 F. Supp. 2d 1, 6–7 (D.D.C. 2008).
- 2. Plaintiff may serve AT&T with a Rule 45 subpoena commanding AT&T to provide Plaintiff with the true name and address of the Defendant to whom AT&T assigned the IP address 99.162.144.107. Plaintiff shall attach to any such subpoena a copy of this order.
- 3. Plaintiff may also serve a Rule 45 subpoena in the same manner as above on any service provider that is identified in response to a subpoena as a provider of Internet services to Defendant (together with AT&T, the "ISPs").
- 4. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that subpoenas authorized by this order and issued pursuant thereto shall be deemed appropriate court orders under 47 U.S.C. § 551. In particular, 47 U.S.C.  $\S 551(c)(2)(B)$  provides as follows:
  - (c) Disclosure of personally identifiable information

 $[\ldots]$ 

_
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

1

(2) A cable operator may	disclose such	information	if the	disclosure	is—

 $[\ldots]$ 

(B) subject to subsection (h) [relating to disclosures to governmental agencies] made pursuant to a court order authorizing such disclosure, if the subscriber is notified of such order by the person to whom the order is directed . . . .

This order is an order authorizing such disclosure.

- 5. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that each ISP will have <u>30 days</u> from the date of service upon it to serve each of its subscriber(s) whose identity information is sought with a copy of the subpoena and a copy of this order. The ISPs may serve the subscribers using any reasonable means, including written notice sent to the subscriber's last known address, transmitted either by first-class mail or via overnight service.
- 6. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that each subscriber and each ISP shall have <u>30</u> days from the date of service upon him, her or it to file any motions in this court contesting the subpoena (including a motion to quash or modify the subpoena). If the 30-day period after service on the subscriber lapses without the subscriber or the ISP contesting the subpoena, the ISP shall have <u>10 days</u> to produce to Plaintiff the information responsive to the subpoena with respect to that subscriber.
- 7. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that any ISP that receives a subpoena shall preserve all subpoenaed information pending the ISP's delivering such information to Plaintiff or the final resolution of a timely filed motion to quash the subpoena with respect to such information.
- 8. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that any information disclosed to Plaintiff in response to a subpoena may be used by Plaintiff solely for the purpose of protecting its rights under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any name or other personal identifying information of any current or proposed defendant, or any other subscriber identified in response to a subpoena, shall be filed UNDER SEAL in all filings and not otherwise disclosed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 16, 2021

JOSEPH C. SPERO Chief Magistrate Judge